



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. Box 1022
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

COPY MAILED

DEC 23 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 7,502,825 :
Issued: March 10, 2009 :
Application No. 09/788,526 : ON APPLICATION FOR
Filed: February 21, 2001 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
Atty Docket No. 07844-478001/P442 :

This is in response to the APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d), filed on May 4, 2009. Patentee requests that the determination of patent term adjustment be corrected from eight hundred ninety-eight (898) days to one thousand three hundred sixty-six (1,366) days.

The request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) of 898 days.

BACKGROUND

On March 10, 2009, the application matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,502,825, with a revised patent term adjustment of 898 days. The Office determined that the 731 days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlaps with the 868 days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) and (a)(2), accorded prior to the filing of the request for continued examination. As such, no additional days of patent term adjustment were entered at issuance under the three-year pendency provision. Given the additional 186 days of Office delay pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(2) and (4) accorded subsequent to the filing of the RCE, and the applicant delay of 156 days, the patent issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 898 (868 + 186 - 156) days.

On May 4, 2009, patentee timely submitted this request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment (with required fee), asserting that the correct number of days of Patent Term Adjustment is 1,366 days under the courts interpretation of the overlap provision as set forth in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Patentee asserts that pursuant to Wyeth, a PTO delay under §154(b)(1)(A) overlaps with a delay under §154(b)(1)(B) only if the delays "occur on the same day."

Preliminarily, under the Wyeth analysis, the period of three year delay beginning on February 21, 2004 and ending on February 21, 2006, the date that an RCE was first filed, overlaps with the period of examination delay under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) and (a)(2) by 131 and 66 days, respectively. Patentee asserts that ~~the~~ Accordingly, the non-overlapping period of three year delay asserted by patentee is 534 (731 - (131 + 66) overlap) days, not 468 days as asserted by patentee. Patentee's argument will be articulated accordingly.

Accordingly, patentee maintains that the total non-overlapping PTO delay under § 154(b)(1)(A) & (B) is 1492 (898 + 731 - 197 overlap) days as these periods do not occur on the same day. Further, given the applicant delay of 156 days, patentee asserts entitlement to 1336 (1492 - 156) days of patent term adjustment.

OPINION

Patentee's interpretation of the period of overlap has been considered, but found inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of the overlap provision, 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office

interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office beginning on the application filing date under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), February 21, 2001, and ending on the date of filing of a request for continued examination (RCE), February 21, 2006 (not including any other periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)). The relevant period ends with the filing of the RCE as the three-year time frame specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) does not include the period subsequent to the filing of the RCE. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) and (a)(2), 868 (802 + 66) days of patent term adjustment were accorded during the pendency of the application for Office delay prior to the filing of the request for continued examination. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), 731 days of patent term adjustment accrued for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application.

The 731 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 868 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) and (a)(2). Entry of both the 731 days and the 868 days is neither permitted nor warranted. 186 days of patent term adjustment were entered after the filing of the RCE). 1054 (868 + 186) days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed. Considering the applicant delay of 156 days, the patent term adjustment at issuance is 898 (1054 - 156) days.


Accordingly, at issuance, the Office properly entered no additional days of patent term adjustment for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent.

CONCLUSION

In view thereof, the Office affirms that the revised determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the issuance of the patent is 898 days.

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood, at (571) 272-3231.


Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions